	ii.			
	Case 2:22-cv-01571-DAD-AC	Document 23	Filed 07/29/25	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	EDWARD DON BROWN,	l N	o. 2:22-cv-1571 D	AD AC P
12	Plaintiff,		0. 2.22 0. 10,12	
13	v.	F	INDINGS AND RE	COMMENDATIONS
14	ALLISON, et al.,			
15	Defendants.			
16				
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in a civil rights action pursuant to			
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. On May 30, 2025, the court screened the first amended complaint and found			
19	that plaintiff's allegations against defendant Dr. Young were sufficient to state a medical			
20	deliberate indifference claim. ECF No. 21 at 4-5. The court also found that plaintiff's allegations			
21	against defendants Allison, Covello, and County of Ione did not state claims for relief, and that			
22	plaintiff failed to state any § 1983 claims against any defendant based on deliberate indifference			
23	regarding his pain medication or conditions of confinement, lost or stolen property, alleged			
24	HIPAA violations, or violations of California Government Code § 845.6. ECF No. 21 at 5-7, 12-			
25	13. Plaintiff was given the options of amending the complaint or proceeding immediately on his			

13. Plaintiff was given the options of amending the complaint or proceeding immediately on his cognizable Eighth Amendment medical deliberate indifference claim against defendant Dr. Young. Id. at 7, 12-13. He was further advised that if, within twenty-one days from the date of the order, he failed to notify the court how he wanted to proceed, the court would assume that he

26

27

28

was choosing to proceed on the complaint as screened and would recommend dismissal without prejudice of plaintiff's Eighth Amendment deliberate indifference claims based on his pain medication and conditions of confinement, HIPAA claim, and state law claims, as well as defendants Allison, Covello, and County of Ione would be voluntarily dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a). <u>Id.</u> at 12-13. The time for plaintiff to notify the court as to how he wishes to proceed has now passed, <u>see id.</u> at 12, and plaintiff has not made an election or otherwise responded to the order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that for the reasons set forth in the May 30, 2025, Screening Order (ECF No. 21 at 4-7, 12-13), plaintiff's Eighth Amendment deliberate indifference claims based on his pain medication and conditions of confinement, HIPAA claim, and state law claim, as well as defendants Allison, Covello, and County of Ione, be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty-one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judges Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: July 28, 2025

ALLISON CLAIRE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

auson Clane